

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,446	46 09/13/2004		Hidetsugu Goto	4439-4024	8215	
27123	7590	07/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	& FINNEG	•	GANGLE, BRIAN J			
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1645		
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/507,446	GOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian J. Ganglė	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 September 2004</u> .							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	-					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/507,446

Art Unit: 1645

•

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a protein having an amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:2.

Group II, claim(s) 2, 3, 10, in part, drawn to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Group III, claim(s) 3, 10, in part, drawn to DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Group IV, claim(s) 4, drawn to a protein having an amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:4.

Group V, claim(s) 5, 6, 10, in part, drawn to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:3.

Group VI, claim(s) 6, 10, in part, drawn to DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEO ID NO:3.

Group VII, claim(s) 7-8, in part, drawn to microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Group VIII, claim(s) 7-8, in part, drawn to microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Group IX, claim(s) 7-8, in part, drawn to microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:3.

Group X, claim(s) 7-8, in part, drawn to microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:3.

Group XI, claim(s) 9, in part, to a method of producing vinegar using microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,446

Art Unit: 1645

Group XII, claim(s) 9, in part, drawn to a method of producing vinegar using microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Group XIII, claim(s) 9, in part, drawn to a method of producing vinegar using microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:3.

Group XIV, claim(s) 9, in part, drawn to a method of producing vinegar using microorganisms with amplified copy number of DNA that hybridizes to DNA having the sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:3.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature linking Groups I-XIV appears to be a protein having an amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:2.

However, Ikushiro et al. (J. Biol. Chem., 276:18249-18256, 2001) disclose the amino acid sequence of a serine palmitoyltransferase (see figure 5). A protein that has an amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:2 is a protein that has any sequence (2 amino acids) in common with SEQ ID NO:2. The amino acid sequence, VRL, which starts at amino acid 300 of SEQ ID NO:2, can be found at approximately amino acid 300 of the sequence shown in figure 5. Hence, Ikushiro et al. anticipates claim 1 of the instant application.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I and II does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the art.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be

Application/Control Number: 10/507,446

Art Unit: 1645

amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/507,446 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Gangle whose telephone number is (571) 272-1181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian Gangle 7/5/2006

ROBERT A. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/1/ Fine